| Date of Filing | | | Escrow deposit: |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | (Do not wr | ite above this line.) | |
| | APPLICATION FO | OR SITE PLAN APPRO | VAL . |
| To: The Township of Berkeley | / Heights Planning | Board | |
| Application is made for hereinafter more particularly de | (Preliminary) scribed as follows: | | (Final) approval of a SITE PLAN |
| 1. Applicant's Name: Lor | ne Pine Drive Url | oan Renewal, LLC | |
| Address: One Evertrus | t Plaza, Suite 804 | , Jersey City, NJ 073 | 502 |
| Phone: (908) 234- Cell: () | 1382 | Email Address: | RMurphy@mcrtrust.com |
| 2. Name and address of present See Attachme Address: | nt A | | · |
| Phone: () | | Email Address: | |
| 3. Location of Site: L | one Pine Drive | | |
| Block 703 | (Stre Lots 3 | eet Address) , 4, and 8* | DH-24 Zone Redevelopment Area |
| (Tax Map Block No.) | (Lot Nu *An emergency ac adjacent Block 70 | mbers) cess lane is proposed o | (Zone) |
| 4. Proposed Use of Site: and surface parking, and | <u>Multi-fan</u> | nily residential apar | tment building with structured an |
| 5. List of maps and other doc | | * | |
| Iter See Application Transmi | | • | <u>Number</u> |
| 6. List variances requested: | None | | |
| | | | |
| 7. Name, address, and profes Name: Eric L. Keller, P.I Address: 54 Horsehill R | E Bowman Con | sulting Profession: | Professional Engineer |
| | 9-8400 | Email Address: | ekeller@bowmanconsulting.cor |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT: | ئىرى | aked White | |

Richard G Murnhiv

NAME (PRINTED).

| Name: | Andy S. Norin, Esq. | - Faegre Drinker Bi | ddle & Reatl | ı LLP |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|--|
| - | 600 Campus Drive, | The same of the sa | | |
| • | <u> </u> | | , | |
| Phone: | (973) 549-700 | 0 Ema | il Address: | andy.norin@faegredrinker.com |
| . Applicant | t's Witnesses: | | | |
| Name: | Eric L. Keller, P.E | Bowman Consulting | Profession: | Professional Engineer |
| | 54 Horsehill Road, S | | | |
| _ | Cedar Knolls, New J | ersey 07927 | | |
| Phone: | (₉₇₃) <u>359-840</u> | <u>0</u> Ema | il Address: | ekeller@bowmanconsulting.com |
| Name: | Minno Wasko Arc | hitects and Planners | Profession: | Licensed Architect |
| Address: | 80 Lambert Lane, S | | | |
| _ | Lambertville, New | Jersey 08530 | | |
| Phone: | (609)397-9 | | ii Address: | |
| | ~ ~ | the right to present | | |
| - | additional witnesse | s at the hearing | Profession: | /13-3-7 |
| \ddress: _ | | | | |
| Phone: | () | Ema | il Address: | |
| Namo | | · | Drofonslau | |
| ddress: | | | | |
| .ca.ess. | | | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Phone: | () | Ema | il Address: | |
| O Oursell | Letter of Consent: | | | |
| | p of Berkeley Heights | | | |
| Planning | • | | | |
| 29 Park | • | | | |
| | Heights, NJ 07922 | RE: Appli | cation# | |
| | | Block | | Lot 3,4 and 8 |
| | | Location | | ne Drive, Berkeley Heights, New Jersey |
| | | Applicant | | ne Drive Urban Renewal, LLC |
| | | •• | | IV DIANG GIDEN ROMAN, BEG |
| | | | | |
| - | | • | | r of Record of the site described herein |
| nd that I co | ncur with the application | and plans presented to | the Planning E | Board of the Township of Berkeley Heights. |
| ermission is | hereby granted to | Lone Pine Drive U | Jrban Renev | val, LLC as applicant for the |
| roposed,de\ | · - , | | | _ |
| ~ 1 | | BY: TV | VAN PYNE R | EALTY CORP. |
| 8/10 | 120 | | Jani | L'YOK |
| 1 | (Date) | Davil 0. | - atm | (Signature) |
| | | Paul S | patz | (Brinted Name) |
| | | Preside | ent | (Printed Name) |
| | | | | dumin # 5 |

(If title is applicable, please indicate. If joint ownership, both owners must sign and give address and phone number.)

ATTACHMENT A

2. Name and Address of Present Owners:

Block 703, Lots 3 and 4:

Twin Pine Realty Corp. 91 Lone Pine Drive Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922

Block 703, Lot 8:

Township of Berkeley Heights 29 Park Avenue Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922

OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

for

Lone Pine Drive Urban Renewal, LLC ("Applicant")

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-48.1, the names and addresses of all persons owning at least 10% of the stock in a corporate applicant or at least 10% interest in any partnership applicant must be disclosed. In accordance with N.J.S.A. 40:55D-48.2, that disclosure requirement applies to any corporation or partnership which owns a 10% or greater interest in the applicant followed up the chain of ownership until the names and addresses of the non-corporate stockholders and partners exceeding the 10% or greater ownership criterion have been disclosed. The following entities own a 10% or greater interest in the Applicant:

| Name | Address | Interest |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| MCRT Investments LLC | One Evertrust Plaza, Suite 804 Jersey City, NJ 07302 | 100% Interest in Applicant |

^{*}No entity or individual has a 10% or greater interest in MCRT Investments LLC

Bowman

August 10, 2020

Ms. Connie Valenti, Secretary Township of Berkeley Heights Planning Board Berkeley Heights Municipal Building 29 Park Avenue Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922

Re: RSIS de minimus exception

Lone Pine Drive Urban Renewal LLC
Amended Preliminary and Final Site Plan Application
DH-24 Redevelopment Area
Block 703, Lots 3, 4 and 8
Township of Berkeley Heights
Union County New Jersey
BCG File No. 080955-C1-001

Dear Ms. Valenti:

This letter is to supplement Amended Site Plan Application and reiterate the notification of de minimis exceptions to the Residential Site Improvement Standards (RSIS) that are being requested in this application. The specific exceptions relate to the proposed right-of way (ROW) width of the Lone Pine Drive extension and the installation of a sidewalk on only one side of Lone Pine Drive wherein a sidewalk is required for both sides per RSIS.

The extension of Lone Pine Drive into the Modera site is considered a Multi-Family Access cul-de-sac as it is defined in RSIS Table 4.2 – Residential Street Hierarchy Definitions as follows:

"A street with a single means of ingress and egress, which serves multifamily development, that provides a means for vehicles to turn around. Average daily traffic maximum is 1,000 trips".

The project is considered under the RSIS as a Mid-Rise apartment as it has more than 2 levels (floors) and less than 10 levels. The average daily traffic peak rate per RSIS Table 4.1 is 5.5 per unit for a Mid-Rise apartment building. The project is proposed at 173 units, which equates to 952 trips and which is less than the 1,000-trip maximum for this roadway classification.

The design considerations for the Multi-Access cul-de-sac are outlined in RSIS Table 4.3, Footnote m. Cartway widths shall conform to the residential access street type, which in this case is a residential access street - no parking - high intensity (> 8 units/acre) with a minimum cartway width requirement of 20 feet. The proposal is for a 24-foot-wide cartway, which exceeds the minimum.

With respect to the ROW and the exception request, the RSIS requirement is for a ROW 8 feet beyond the edge of the cartway. For the required 20-foot-wide road, this would result in a 36-foot-wide ROW requirement and for the proposed 24-foot-wide cartway, it would result in a 40 wide ROW requirement.

Ms. Connie Valenti Block 703, Lots 3, 4, & 8 August 10, 2020 Page 2

The proposal is for a 35-foot-wide ROW, which is only 1 foot less than the requirement if the narrower 20-foot cartway was proposed, and which we believe provides sufficient ingress and egress for this site. Thus, the unnecessary increase to the ROW width requirement over what would typically be required for a permitted 20 foot cartway is only due to the proposed cartway width of 24 feet, which exceeds the RSIS standard, but is desired to provide for safe traffic flow into and out of the site. Compliance with the ROW width standard is therefore achievable, but not desirable, as compliance would not provide optimal ingress and egress. We are therefore requesting a de minimis exception from the Planning Board for the ROW width with our Amended Site Plan application.

Strict compliance with the ROW width standard of 40 feet given the 24-foot cartway width would also result in practical difficulties because of the relative narrowness of the site depth in relation to the site width. Specifically, the unnecessary additional ROW width would encroach into the building footprint thus hindering the redevelopment of the site in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and the Court approved affordable housing settlement agreement. In addition, a wider ROW would also cause practical difficulties, and is a poor planning alternative, because it would result in further encroachment into the freshwater wetlands transition area on the site.

We note that this exception was identified and was addressed in the Consistency Review as prepared by the Township Planner Keenan Hughes. He specifically noted the following in his April 29, 2019 (updated on May 14, 2019) Consistency Review report for the project. He stated:

"RSIS requires an 8-foot-wide right-of-way line beyond the edge of both sides of the cartway. For the proposed 24-foot cartway, a right-of-way of 40 feet in width is required for the Lone Pine Drive extension. The total width of the proposed right-of-way is 35 feet. The applicant should provide testimony to address the de minimis exception required for the 35 feet right-of-way width. In reviewing the exception request, it should be noted that the proposed cartway exceeds the minimum required by 4 feet and that an adequate sidewalk is provided along one side of the extension."

We have also indicated that we are requesting a de minimis exception from the requirement that sidewalks be provided on both sides of the roadway. The requirement is outlined in RSIS Table 4.3. Sidewalk is proposed only on the west side of Lone Pine Drive consistent with the previously approved plans to provide a pedestrian link from the project to the commercial center and for connection to the train station.

Strict compliance with the sidewalk standard would result in practical difficulties because of the existing site conditions and environmental constraints on the north side of the roadway. There is limited area available and the land slopes to a stream and wooded area. The construction of a sidewalk would serve no pedestrian circulation or safety purpose as the property to the north is fenced and would have no access to this sidewalk; additionally a sidewalk on this side of Lone Pine Drive would result in additional disturbance of the stream and associated vegetation, riparian zone and wetland areas. Also, the additional sidewalk would push the road to the south where it would encroach into the building footprint and environmentally constrained areas on the south side of the roadway, which would hinder the redevelopment of the site in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and the Court-approved affordable housing settlement

Ms. Connie Valenti Block 703, Lots 3, 4, & 8 August 10, 2020 Page 2

agreement. Furthermore, there is no sidewalk on the northern side of the existing Lone Pine Drive, so a new sidewalk on the northern side would not lead anywhere that pedestrians could continue to walk. Therefore there is no justification for the second sidewalk and there will be no negative impact from not providing it.

We have provided testimony during the previous Site Plan Application regarding the *de minimus* exceptions for both of these design conditions and can provide additional testimony in further support of these at the Planning Board hearing for the amended application if necessary.

Very truly yours,

William H. Hamilton, PP, AICP, LLA, LEED AP

Principal

whamilton@bowmanconsulting.com

cc:

Andy S. Norin, Esq.

Lone Pine Drive Urban Renewal LLC

TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW JERSEY PLANNING BOARD CHECK LIST - SITE PLAN

| LONE PINE DRI | ant's Name and Address LONE PINE DRIVE URBAN RENEWAL LLC ONE EVERTRUST PLAZA, SUITE 804, JERSEY CITY, NJ 07302 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | JERSEY CITY, NJ 07302 . | _ | | | | | | | |
| Phone: () 908-234-1382 | FAX: () | _ | | | | | | | |
| Project: MODERA BERKELEY HEIGHTS | Location: BLOCK 703, LOTS 3, 4, & 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Date Received: | Engineer BOWMAN CONSULTING GROUP, LTD. | | | | | | | | |

At least sixteen (16) sets of sealed plans and copies of properly executed application forms shall be submitted at least twenty-eight (28) days prior to the Regular Planning Board Meeting for the purpose of review and discussion.

If the Applicant is a Corporation, representation by a New Jersey Attorney is required at the Public Hearing.

Unless the following items appear on the SITE PLAN, or if they fail to conform to the requirements herein listed, a Public Hearing will not be scheduled.

The Applicant's Engineer shall complete each item on the CHECK LIST as follows: ($\,^{\rm Y}$) Yes, ($^{\rm N}$) No, or (N/A) Not Applicable

| Applicant | Township Official Verification | <u>ltem</u> |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| (Y) | () . | Calculation of Application Fee |
| (Y) | () | Proper Scale (not less than 1" = 30") |
| (Y) | () | Graphic Scale |
| (Y) | (.) | Key Map (not less than 1" = 500") |
| (Y) | () . | Reference meridian |
| (Y) | () | Date (on all documents and drawings) |
| (Y) | () | Revision dates |
| (Y) | () | Entire tract shown |
| (Y) | () | List of all drawings and documents included in application |
| (Y) | () | Name of development or project |
| (Y) | () | Name and address of owner of record |
| (Y) | () | Name and address of applicant |
| (Y) | () | Name, address, signature and seal of Professional Engineer or Architect licensed in the State of New Jersey who prepared the plans |
| (Y) | () | Survey of tract certified by licensed Land Surveyor |
| (Y) | (). | Names of adjoining owners and owners within 200' with block and lot numbers and tax sheet numbers |
| (Y) | (,) | Space provided for endorsement of the Chairman, Secretary of the Planning Board and Township Engineer |
| (Y) | () | Tax map identification (Sheet number, lot and block numbers) on plan |
| · (Y) | () | Tract boundaries and dimensions |
| (Y |) () | Tract area (within .01 acres) |
| (Y |) () | Zone boundaries and existing schools within 200' |
| (Y |) () | Proposed Right of Ways |
| (Y |) () | Proposed streets and street names |
| (Y |) (') | Proper size sheet |
| (Y |) () | Areas dedicated to public use. All easements or deed restriction on or related to the tract |
| | | |

SITE PLAN - CHECK LIST

| <u>Applicant</u> | Township Official <u>Verification</u> | Item |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| (N/A) | () . | Any adjacent lots in which applicant has a direct or indirect interest |
| (Y) | . () | Improvements to adjoining streets and roads |
| (Y) | () | All distances to the nearest intersection with any public street (measured along sideline or centerline of adjacent street) |
| (Y) | () | Streams, waterways and flood plains within 200' of tract |
| (Y) | (.) | Setback lines; Provided and Required |
| (Y) | () | Building height, size and location |
| (Y) | (·) | Percent (%) of building coverage |
| (^Y) | () | Location and size of existing buildings and structures |
| (Y) | () | Structures to be removed shall be indicated by dashed lines |
| (N/A) | () | Existing structures on Historic Landmarks' Inventory maintained by Union County Planning Board |
| (W) | () | Existing elevations and contours (2 ' contour internal and extended 50' beyond tract) |
| (w) | () | Proposed elevations and contours (2' contour internal and extended 50' beyond tract) |
| (Y) | () | Elevation drawings (front, back and side of proposed and existing buildings and signs) with dimensions |
| (Y) | () | Floor plans with dimensions |
| (Y) | () | Exterior wall material |
| (Y.) | (). | Exterior architectural lighting and details |
| (Y) | () | Access streets (Names, width, lanes) |
| (Y) | () | Vehicular ingress and egress to and from site onto public streets |
| (y) | () | Directional traffic flow on site |
| (Y) | () | Calculation of parking; Provided and Required |
| (^Y) | () | Location. scaled design of offstreet parking |
| (Y) | () | Size and location of bays, aisles and planting areas |
| (Y) | () | Offstreet parking areas, paved and curbed |
| (Y) | () | Written Use Plan |
| (Y) | () | Size and location of driveways and curbcuts |
| (Y) | () | No driveway within five (5) feet of property line |
| (y) | () | Driveways conform to maximum and minimum dimensions required |
| (N/A) | () | Sight easements shown on plan |
| (Y) | . () | Sidewalks, walkways and pedestrian lanes |
| (Y) | () | Fire Lanes |
| (Y) | () | Loading spaces or docks |
| (Y) | () | Traffic Impact Analysis for sites generating more than 25 vehicles per hour |
| (Y) |) () | Existing storm sewer system |
| (Y |) (··) | Proposed storm sewer system |
| . (У |) () · | Documentation showing that the rate-of-runoff after development will not exceed rate-of-runoff prior to development (drainage calculations) |

| Applicant (Y) | | vnsnip (<u>Verifica</u> (| | Item Existing sanitary sewers |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----|---|
| (Y) | | (|) | Proposed sanitary sewers |
| (Y) | | (|) | Existing and proposed water mains and hydrants |
| (Y) | | (. |) | Existing and proposed gas lines |
| (Y) | | (|) | Existing and proposed electric lines |
| (Y) | | (|) | Existing and proposed telephone lines |
| (N/A) | | (|) | Existing and proposed common space |
| (N/A) | | (|) | Existing and proposed open space |
| (Y) | | (|) | Solid waste collection and disposal method |
| (Y) | | (|) | Buffer areas including height, width and type of buffer and its expected effectiveness in screening views, auto headlights and reducing noise |
| (, _A) | | (|) | Seeded or sodded areas, groundcover, retaining walls, fencing, shrubbery, trees, including height and caliper |
| (Y) | | (|) . | Location of single trees not in wooded areas with diameter of six (6) inches or more as measured three (3) feet above the base of the trunk |
| (Y) | | (|) | Conforms to Master Plan |
| (W) | | (|) | Environmental Impact Statement |
| ·(y) | | (|) | Certification by Tax Collector that taxes are paid on property |
| (Y) | | (|) | Bonds, Cash Escrows and Inspection Fees posted for improvements |
| (y) | | (|) | Map of properties within 200' |
| (Y) | | (|) | Proof of Service of notification of Public Hearing to all property owners within 200' |
| (Y) |) | (|) | Soil Erosion and Sediment Control |
| (Y) |) | (|) | Construction Details |
| (Y) |) | (|) | Owner's Letter of Consent, if applicant is not the owner |
| (Y |) | (|) | Submission of plans to Union County Planning Board |
| |) | (|) | Submission of plans to Somerset-Union Soil Conservation District |
| (. W | , } | . (|) | Soil Movement Permit |
| (N/A |) | (|) | For sites containing hazardous materials under NJDEP jurisdiction: Documentation from NJDEP approving clean up plan per Ordinance #30-00 |
| (N/A |) | (|) | For sites containing hazardous materials under USEPA jurisdiction: Documentation from USEPA approving clean up plan per Ordinance #30-00 |
| (Y |) | (|) | Disclosure Statement of ten percent (10%) ownership interest of Corporation or Partnership (NJSA:40:55D-48.1 and 48.2) |
| | | Nar | _ | <u>Address</u> |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 8. | , | | | |
| ۵. | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |

SITE PLAN - CHECK LIST

| ひいいけん | TURE | | | MANAGING DIRECTOR | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 118 2 2 11 | RENEWAL I | TITLE | |
| | | | | ARTNERSHIP | |
| | | | | TE 804, JERSEY CITY, NJ 07302 | |
| ADDRI | | U31 F | DACA, SUI | TE 604, JERSEY CTTY, NJ U/302 | |
| NOTE: Corpor | No Plar ation or | nning B Partne | oard, Board or rship which d | of Adjustment or Municipal Governing Body shall approve a foes not comply with these Disclosure Acts as per New Jer | the application of any sey Statute 40:55D-48.3. |
| Such o Plan a | ther info | ımatioı ordanc | n or data as n e with the sta | may be required by the Planning Board in order to determing and ards of the Zoning Ordinances of the Township of Berk | ne that the detalls on the Site eley Heights. |
| (_ | 7 | (|) | Completion of Check List | |
| | ù | <u>, </u> | 24 | PRICE: KELLER, P.E., BOWMAN CONSULTING GROUP, LITE PARING CHECK LIST | 8/13/2020 |
| 21GINA | II UKE C | DE EMO | SINCER PRE | PARING CHECK EIST | UNIC |
| TOWN | ISHIP O | FFICIA | L | | DATE |
| EOR 6 | | | | | |
| | | | | NLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE Board or Agencies: | |
| | | | | Rouled To: Received I | <u>-rom:</u> |
| | ts from I | Departr | ment and/or E | Board or Agencies: | |
| | ts from I Towns | Departr ship En | nent and/or E | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const | Departr ship En ruction c Safety | gineer Official: | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received I | |
| | Towns Const | Departr ship En ruction c Safety | gineer Official: | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro | Departr ship En nuction c Safety onment | gineer Official: / Officer: al Commission | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F | Departr ship En nuction safety onment reventi | gineer: Official: / Officer: al Commission fon Bureau; ning Board: | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun | Departr ship En nuction safety onment reventi | gineerOfficial:, Official:, Officer:al Commission Bureau: ning Board: | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun Clerk (if w | Departr ship En cuction : Safety onment reventi ty Plan of Adjoithin 20 | gineer: | Routed To: Received F | |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun | Departr Ship En ruction Safety Donment Preventi ty Plan of Adjo | gineerOfficial:, Official:, Officer:al Commission Bureau: ning Board: | Soard or Agencies: Routed To: Received F | of meeting |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun Clerk (if w | Departr ship En cruction : Safety onment Preventi ty Plan of Adjoithin 20 | gineer: Official: / Officer: al Commission Bureau: ning Board: bining Municip 0') | Rouled To: Received in the Rec | of meeting |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun Clerk (if w | Departr ship En nuction Safety onment Preventi ty Plan of Adjoi ithin 20 | gineer: Official:, Official:, Officer: al Commission ion Bureau: ning Board:_ bining Municip 0')) | Routed To: Received I Received I Pality: Advertise Public Hearing ten (10) days in advance Letter of Planning Board Action to Applicant, date: | of meeting |
| | Towns Const Traffic Enviro Fire F Coun Clerk (if w | Departr ship En nuction Safety onment Preventi ty Plan of Adjoi ithin 20 | gineer:Official: | Routed To: Received I Received I On: Advertise Public Hearing ten (10) days in advance Letter of Planning Board Action to Applicant, date: Publication of Decision within ten (10) days, date: | of meeting |

| , |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| oplication of any Statute 40:55D-48.3. at the details on the Site |
| Heights. |
| |
| |
| DATE |
| |
| DATE |
| |
| |
| |
| <u>e</u> |
| |
| <u>c</u> |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| neeting |
| |
| neeting |
| neeting |
| neeting |
| |

TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS, UNION COUNTY ORDINANCE No. 16-2010

An Ordinance of the Township of Berkeley Heights, County of Union, State of New Jersey, Amending, Revising and Supplementing the Zoning Ordinances to Adopt the Official Design Standards Checklist for Land Use Applications Before the Township Planning Board and Zoning Board of Adjustment.

BEIT ORDAINED by the Township Council of the Township of Berkeley Heights, County of Union, State of New Jersey, as follows:

Section 1. A Check List for Design Standards is hereby adopted for all land use applications filed with the Township Planning Board or Zoning Board of Adjustment to read as follows:

TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW JERSEY PLANNING BOARD / ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

CHECK LIST - DESIGN STANDARDS

| Applicant's Name and Address LONE PINE URBA | N RENEWAL, LLC |
|---|------------------------------------|
| ONE EVERTRUST PLAZA, SUITE 804, JERS | EY CITY, NJ 07302 |
| Phone: () 908-234-1382 | _Fax: () |
| | Location: BLOCK 703, LOTS 3, 4 & 8 |
| Date Received: | BOWMAN CONSULTING GROUP LTE |

The Standards and Guidelines set forth in Part 19 — Design Standards shall apply to all districts throughout Berkeley Heights except that they shall not apply to those AH and OR-A Zone Districts in which the development is conducted in substantial conformity with any conceptual site plan and elevations specifically referenced in the applicable Developer's Agreement for such zone or which received preliminary site plan approval from the Planning Board or Board of Adjustment prior to January 1, 1994 (Section 19.4.1). However, the standards and guidelines set forth specifically for the Downtown (Article 19.5) shall override the general standards and guidelines, for applications within the Downtown, namely to the DD, HB-2, HB-3, DH-12, DH-18 and DH-24 Districts.

The Applicant's Engineer / Architect shall complete each item on the Check List as follows: () Yes, () No, or (N/A) Not Applicable.

CHECK LIST - TOWNSHIP DESIGN STANDARDS

| | Township Official | |
|------------------|---------------------|---|
| <u>Applicant</u> | <u>Verification</u> | <u>item</u> |
| (Y) | () | A report shall be submitted by the Applicant that demonstrates Compliance with all applicable design standards and guidelines Contained within Part 19 — Design Standards of the Municipal Land Use Procedures Ordinance in accordance with Section 19.3.1 and: |
| (Y) | () | Site Plans per Section 19.4.2 |
| (Y) | () | Urban Design Plan per Section 19.4.3 |
| (Y) | () | Architectural Design per Section 19.4.4 |
| (n/A) | () | Multi-Use Buildings per Section 19.4.5 |
| (Y) | () | Multi-Family Buildings per Section 19.4.6 |
| | | Standards and Guidelines for the Downtown |
| (Y) | () | Urban Design per Section 19.5.3 |
| (Y) | () | Streetscape per Section 19.5.4 |
| (Y) | () | Material and Color Standards and Guidelines per Section 19.5.5 |
| (Y) | () | Consistent with the Visual Preference Survey Guidelines |
| (Y) | () | Consistent with the Illustrated Streetscape Sketches |
| (Y) | () | Are any modifications or waivers being requested from Part 19 – Design Standards? |

Fee and Escrow Calculation Sheet

Fee:

Amended Preliminary Site Plan:

\$750 (building exceeding 10,000 SF)

Amended Final Site Plan:

\$600 (\$50 plus \$550 for buildings exceeding 50,000 SF)

Total:

\$1,350

Escrow:

Amended Preliminary Site Plan:

\$7,500 (over 100 units)

Amended Final Site Plan:

\$3,250

Total:

\$10,750

Bowman

February 19, 2019 Updated August 10, 2020

Design Standards Compliance Report

Amended Preliminary and Final Site Plan Modera Berkeley Heights Block 703, Lot 3, 4, & 8. Township of Berkeley Heights, Union County, New Jersey BCG # 080955-C1-001

The following report addresses the Part 19-Design Standards requirements pursuant to the Berkeley Heights Township Code:

<u> 19.1 – PURPOSES</u>

This project is consistent with the Design Standards purpose by the development of a plan that gives due consideration to the physical, visual, and special character and scale of the existing streetscape, neighborhood and the township at large. The plan is consistent with the amended DH-24 Redevelopment Plan. In addition, the front, side and rear of the building is designed in an efficient and aesthetically pleasing manner that is consistent with the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.2 - MODIFICATIONS AND WAIVERS

The Site Plans and supporting documents are consistent with the Amended Redevelopment Plan. The only request relief from the standards are for minor deviations with respect to the RSIS and regarding parking in the front yard as noted on the Site Plans for the project. The applicant has provided and will provide further testimony as required to the Board on compliance with the criteria for exceptions pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-51 and the specific requirements of Section 19.2.

A list of proposed waivers for the project is as follows:

- RSIS de minimus waiver for a 35' right-of-way
- RSIS de minimus waiver for a sidewalk on only one side of the roadway
- Waiver from §11.1.1 to permit (banked) parking within the front yard.

Design Standards Compliance Report Modera Berkeley Heights BCG #080955-C1-001 February 19, 2019 – updated August 4, 2020 Page 2 of 3

19.4 - GENERAL STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

19.4.2 – Site Plans

19.4.2.B.1

The building is located to front on the proposed extension of Lone Pine Drive, consistent with the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.4.2B.2

The proposed parking areas are largely consistent with the redevelopment plan in that the majority of the parking is located in the rear of the property in a parking structure. There are several surface parking spaces proposed near the entrance of the building. The parking areas are screened from the right-of-way and adjacent properties. Banked parking spaces are proposed; a portion of the banked spaces are located in the front yard. A waiver is requested for this banked parking area at the location of the fire lane, as front yard here is measured from Lot 6.

19.4.2B.3, 4, & 5

A barrier free pedestrian circulation route is proposed among the parking areas, the building, and the public street. Streetscape lighting improvements are consistent with the Township design standards and the redevelopment plan. The proposed street fixtures are consistent with streetscape design in the area.

19.4.2.B.6

This section is not applicable as there is only one proposed building for the project site. The proposed building elevations are consistent with the exhibits presented in the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.4.3 – Urban Design

The physical character and appearance of the proposed structure draws from the nearby buildings and streetscape, making sure that all elements of materials, proportion, scale, and consistency is followed as best as possible. The design is consistent with the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.4.4 – Architectural Design

The architectural design is consistent with this section design standards and the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

Design Standards Compliance Report Modera Berkeley Heights BCG #080955-C1-001 February 19, 2019 – updated August 4, 2020 Page 3 of 3

19.4.6 - Multi-Family Buildings

The proposed project does not conform to the required building type mix for multi-family buildings. The regulations state that for developments of 50 units or more, 40% of the total number of units may not be in the same building. The proposed project has all of the units in one building.

The proposed project does not comply with these standards as they relate to individuality of dwelling units and buildings. These regulations are geared towards townhouse development, not multi-family apartment buildings.

The remaining requirements of 19.4.6 are consistent with the section and the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.5.4 - Urban Design

The architectural design is consistent with the design standards and the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.5.5 - Streetscape

Proposed streetscape improvements are consistent with the design standards and the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.5.6 - Signage

The proposed signage improvements are consistent with the Amended Redevelopment Plan.

19.5.7 – Materials and Color Standards and Guidelines

The proposed architectural and streetscape designs are consistent with the design standards and the Amended Redevelopment Plan.



TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS

29 PARK AVENUE
BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW JERSEY 07922



OFFICE OF THE
TAX COLLECTOR
RACHELE SANFILIPPO
RSANFILIPPO@BHTWP.COM

PHONE: (908) 464-2700 x 2146

Fax: (908) 286-2222

CERTIFICATION OF TAXES

June 10, 2020

Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP Attn: Erica Antonya Parlavecchio 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, NJ 07932

Re: Block#703, Lot#s 3,4 and 8

Lone Pine Drive and Erie Lack R R, Berkeley Heights, NJ

In response to your request, I am certifying that the property taxes for the above mentioned property was paid in full through to the second quarter 2020. The parcel block #703, Lot#8 is municipal property and is exempt from property taxes.

I have enclosed a copy of the tax balance inquiries.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Rachele San Filippo

Tax Collector

| B Owner Na | LQ: me: | 703. TWIN PI | IE REAL | 3. TY CORI | Ρ, | , . | | | ar: 2020 to on: LONE PIN | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|
| | | led: nts: | 6,07 6,07 | 1 7.54 7.54 0.00 | 6,07 6,07 | | 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Qtr.4 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total 12,155.07 12,155.07 0.00 | | |
| Date | Qtr | | | Code | Check No | Mthd | Reference | | Batch Id | Principal | Interest | 2020 Prin Balance |
| 02/03/20 04/28/20 | | | | Billed 001 001 | 1734 1942 | CK CK | 9105 9319 | 45 2 | 5920 5981 | 12,155.07 6,077.54 6,077.53 | 0.00 | 12,155.07 6,077.53 0.00 |

| | BLQ: | 703 TWIN | | 4. INE REALTY CORP. | | Tax Year: 2020 to 2020 Property Location: LONE PINE DR | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | | led: nts: | 3,73 3,73 | 1 9.46 9.46 0.00 | Qtr 3,73 3,73 | 9.46 | Qtr:3 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Qtr 4 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total 7,478.92 7,478.92 0.00 | | |
| Date | Qtr | | | Code | Check No | Mthd | Reference | | Batch Id | Principal | Interest | 2020 Prin Balance |
| 02/03/20 04/28/20 | | | cription Original ment ment | Billed 001 001 | 1734 1942 | CK CK | 9105 9319 | 46 3 | 5920 5981 | 7,478.92 3,739.46 3,739.46 | 0.00 | 7,478.92 3,739.46 0.00 |

Total Principal Balance for Tax Years in Range:

TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS Tax Account Detail Inquiry

Page No: 1

| Owner | BLQ: Name: | 703. TOWNSHIP OF | 8. BERKELEY | HEIGHTS | | | Year: 2020 to 20 ation: ERIE LACK | | | |
|-------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Тах | Payme | | tr 1 0.00 0.00 | Qtı | 7-2 0.00 0.00 | Qtr 3 0.00 0.00 | Qtr-4 0.00 0.00 | Total 0.00 0.00 | | |
| Date | Qtı | Type Description Origina | 1 | Check No | Mthd | Reference | Batch Id | Principal 0.00 | Interest | 2020 Prin Balance 0.00 |

Total Principal Balance for Tax Years in Range: _______0.00